



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,770	03/30/2004	Kazuhiko Matsumoto	36609	4956
116	7590	02/09/2007	EXAMINER	
PEARNE & GORDON LLP 1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			HAJNIK, DANIEL F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	02/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/812,770	MATSUMOTO, KAZUHIKO
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel F. Hajnik	2628	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 November 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 2-4 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 2-4 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 April 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/13/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolff et al. (US Patent 6067545, herein referred to as “Wolff”) in view of Iyriboz et al. (US Patent 6369812, herein referred to as “Iyriboz”).

With regard to claim 2, Wolff teaches the claimed:

A multisystematic volume rendering image processing system comprising:

a plurality of image data server computers (*col 4, line 63 “servers 104A-106A”*),

a plurality of image display units (*col 4, line 63, “clients” and in figure 7A, where clients 102A and 100A have image displays*),

one or more common volume data storage units for storing volume data necessary for the image display units, (*in figure 1A where memory 118 is a common volume data storage unit*)

the image display units each including an input section and an output section transmit the image requests entered through the input sections to the image data server computers via the network (*col 1, lines 58-60, “multiple clients make I/O requests which are directed to a particular resource on the network”*), receive the image results processed by the image data server computers and output the image results to the output sections (*col 1, lines 58-60, “multiple clients make I/O requests ... A server on the network receives and carries out the I/O requests”*);

the server manager makes a decision to switch data processing (*col 4, lines 57-59, “A network which implements this embodiment of the invention can dynamically rebalance itself to optimize throughput” and col 25, lines 23-25, “Server 104A determines that on the basis ... that it is experiencing an overload condition. Server 4 then sends a redirect packet 710 to the aware client 3”*) for the plurality of image display units so that a part of the data processing performed by an operative one of the image data server computers will be replaced by data processing performed by another suspended one (*col 4, lines 53-55, “The remapping may take place in response to a redirection command emanating from an overloaded node”*) including a state of low load (*col 25, lines 64-65, “Before load rebalance CFN 4 is at 95% utilization, while CFN 3 has 0% utilization” where a low utilization is a state of low load*) of the image data server computers wherein when the server manager decides the switching, if the same volume data as the volume data handled by the operative image data server computer are not present in the suspended image data server computer as a destination of the decided switching, the server

manager performs a control function wherein the volume data from the volume data storage unit is transmitted to the destination image data server computer (*col 8, lines 16-18, “Optimal remapping between the existing servers 104C-106C and the available memory resources 118A-B is accomplished by processes 106PC”*).

Wolff does not explicitly teach the remaining claim limitations.

Iyriboz teaches the claimed:

process image data in accordance with image requests concerning angle and position issued from the image display units (*col 14, lines 39-40, “To assist the remote viewers in quickly location particular annotation, the viewer application supports an option to find or jump to a ... position or view orientation in the sequence of images”*) and transmit image results to the image display units via the network (*col 5, lines 45-49, “Over a local area network (LAN) 30, the data is selectively transferred ... to a remote viewing computer 34 where the data is decompressed and displayed on a remote display screen 36”*);

a server manager for managing data copying via a network, wherein the image data server computers receive volume data necessary for formation of images requested by the image display units from the volume data storage unit via the network (*col 14, lines 37-39, “Other remote viewers accessing the server would then be able to view the annotations associated with the images”*),

the volume data storage unit transmits the necessary volume data to the image data server computers in accordance with requests issued from the image data server computers (*col 5, lines*

46-49, "The sequence is transferred to a server 26 which processes the data and makes it available for remote access");

additional information including scale-up factor data, angle data, and position data (*col 13, lines 48-49, "To provide movement along the viewpath, a path motion processor 394 scales or translates the displayed view" and col 13, lines 36-39 "viewing application 362 enable the remote viewer to rotate pitch and yaw to selectively view any portion of the spherical image about its viewpoint"*) of the image requests is copied from the operative image data server computer to the destination image data server computer, and the destination image data server computer is made to execute the data processing (*col 5, lines 43-47, "The sequence is transferred to a server 26 which processes the data and makes it available for remote access. Over a local area network (LAN) 30, the data is selectively transferred, based on the commands of a remote human viewer 32"*)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine Wolff with Iyriboz. Wolff would benefit from the interactive controls that Iyriboz offers (*col 3, lines 44-46*) in a networked environment for images viewing.

With regard to claim 3, Wolff teaches the claimed:

The multisystematic volume rendering image processing system as claimed in claim 2, wherein the decision to switch data processing is based on an overload condition of the operative (*col 4, lines 53-55, "The remapping may take place in response to a redirection command emanating from an overloaded node"*).

With regard to claim 4, Wolff teaches the claimed:

when the volume data storage unit is requested to send volume data, the server manager inquires of the memory whether the same volume data are already sent or not, after the volume data is sent from the volume data storage unit; (*col 22, lines 6-8, “Only fields 440F-G are dynamic and if needs replication 440L is set to Boolean True, only the fields 440F-G portion of the record needs replication, e.g. to be transmitted to other nodes”*)

when the same volume data are already sent, the server manager judges whether the volume data are collected to one of the image data server computers or not; (*col 22, lines 5-9, “field 440L contains the Boolean False indicating that no replication is required. Only fields 440F-G are dynamic and if needs replication 440L”*)

Wolff does not explicitly teach the remaining claim limitations.

Iyriboz teaches the claimed:

The multisystematic volume rendering image processing system as claimed in claim 2, wherein the server manager stores identification names of the volume data (*col 6, lines 38-39, “to selectively access certain data in the volume image data memory 20” where selective access would require some type of identification means*) transmitted from the volume data storage unit and destination image data server computers in a memory in advance (*col 5, lines 45-46, “Over a local area network (LAN) 30, the data is selectively transferred ... to a remote viewing computer 34”*);

when a decision is made that the volume data are collected to one of the image data server computers, the additional information is copied to that data server computer as a

destination of the decided switching (*col 5, lines 43-47, "Over a local area network (LAN) 30, the data is selectively transferred, based on the commands of a remote human viewer 32"* where *these commands can include additional data, i.e. the additional data can include user commands* *col 14, lines 39-40, "the viewer application supports an option to find or jump to a ... position or view orientation in the sequence of images"*) and that image data server computer is made to execute the data processing (*col 5, lines 44-45, "server 26 which processes the data and makes it available for remote access"*).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine this teaching of Iyriboz with Wolff in order to benefit from the interactive controls that Iyriboz offers (*col 3, lines 44-46*) in a networked environment for images viewing.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel F. Hajnik whose telephone number is (571) 272-7642. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:30A-5:00P).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ulka J. Chauhan can be reached on (571) 272-7782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

D.N. 1/30/07

DFH


ULKA CHAUHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER